

PIEZOIMPLANT SYSTEM



NARROW RIDGES A COMMON CLINICAL CHALLENGE

SUCCESSFUL IMPLANTOLOGY REQUIRES ADEQUATE CRESTAL BONE WIDTH

Placing a dental implant in a narrow crest without adequate width can lead to dehiscence, increasing the risk of peri-implantitis.

Because a peri-implant bone thickness of 1.5 - 2 mm is needed to reduce the risk of dehiscence, crestal bone must be 6.5 - 7.5 mm wide for placement of a screw implant. Traditionally, clinical cases with horizontal defects have been solved using mini-implants or bone augmentation procedures. Both of these techniques are fraught with complications.



ANATOMICAL DIFFICULTY REDUCES IMPLANT PREDICTABILITY

When bone volume is inadequate for safe implant placement, surgeons resort to augmentation procedures such as Guided Bone Regeneration (GBR) and bone block grafting.

However, these procedures increase surgical difficulty, patient morbidity, timing, and overall cost of therapy.

WHAT ARE THE DISADVANTAGES FOR THE PATIENT?

\$7

The high cost of intervention for both the dental practice and the patient often creates a significant barrier to treatment acceptance.



Treatment can easily take up to 8 months. This lengthy treatment time creates yet another barrier for patients.



Lastly, and most importantly, the post-operative period is usually associated with considerable morbidity for the patient.



REX PIEZOIMPLANTS A NEW CLINICAL RATIONALE

AN IMPLANT DESIGNED FOR THE ANATOMY

The REX PiezoImplant System was designed specifically to manage reduced crestal width. Its rectangular section mimics the anatomy of the residual crestal bone, allowing for adequate bone thickness to be preserved on the vestibular and lingual sides.

This level of bone preservation is not possible with traditional, screw-form implants because their circular section poses a geometrical discrepancy with the anatomy. The morphological advantages of REX PiezoImplants allow preserving a crestal thickness greater than 1.5 mm buccally and lingually even when the residual crest is only 3.5 mm.





REX PIEZOIMPLANT CLINCIAL SUCCESS

SCIENTIFICALLY-PROVEN CLINICAL SUCCESS

A case series review of early REX PiezoImplant designs included clinical data from five clinical centers for 56 patients (20 M; 35 F; ages: 24-81; mean age: 59.7). A total of 111 implants were placed in edentulous ridges ranging from 2 – 5 mm with an average width of 3.3 mm. Clinical outcomes over the first three years after loading were evaluated in relation to patient discomfort, implant stability, radiographic bone loss, and exudate history per the ICOI International Health Scale.¹ Outcomes after 5+ years of loading were evaluated in terms of implant survival and marginal bone loss, assessed radiographically. Bone level measurements were made on each radiographic image using an image elaboration software using the implant dimensions for scale. The implant shoulder was used as the reference across timepoints and bone level changes were assessed using the time of loading as baseline. Survival at 5+ years was 92.8% (103/111) and mean bone level change following implantation across all time points was -0.68 (±1.05) mm. Overall, several implants showed a net marginal bone gain over time and no implant exhibited marginal bone loss greater than 1.5mm, with 94.6% of implants meeting bone loss acceptability (≤1 mm at year one plus 0.2 mm/per year thereafter).²

CLINICAL OUTCOMES OVER THE FIRST THREE YEARS AFTER LOADING

TIMEPOINT			ICOI GROUP 2		
1 vear	101	90%	4%	98%	
2 years	73	93%	3%	99%	
3 years	22	86%	9%	95%	

1 Misch CE, Perel ML, Wang H-L, et al.: Implant Success, Survival, and Failure: The International Congress of Oral Implantologists (ICOI) Pisa Consensus Conference. Implant Dentistry, 17 (1):5-15, 2008.2)

2 Kline R, Hoar JE, Beck GH, et al. A prospective multicenter clinical investigation of a bone quality-based dental implant system. Implant Dent. 2002;11:224-234.



Change in mean bone level values at the final radiographic timepoint (mean: 58.4 months, SD: 13.4), normalized to the time of loading/earliest radiographic follow-up.

BONE LEVEL CHANGE AFTER 5 YEARS OF LOADING

REX TISSUE LEVEL PIEZOIMPLANTS UNIQUE MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES

The innovative features of REX PiezoImplant are protected by various patents*.





- 3. Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T. Effects of different implant surfaces and designs on marginal bone-level alterations: a review. Clin. Oral Implants Res 2009. 20:207-15.
- Berglundh T, Gotfredsen K, Zitzmann NU, Lang NP, Lindhe J. Spontaneous progression of ligature induced peri-implantitis at implants with different surface roughness: an experimental study in dogs. Clin. Oral Implants Res 2007. 18:655-661.
- Ibañez C, Catena A, Galindo-Moreno P, Noguerol B, Magán-Fernández A, Mesa F. Relationship Between Long-Term Marginal Bone Loss and Bone Quality, Implant Width, and Surface. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2016. 31:398-405.
- Roberts RA. Types, uses, and evaluation of the plate-form implant. J Oral Implantol 1996. 22:111-118.



- Golab KG, Kashani IR, Azami-Tameh A, Zaminy A, Nik IN, Nik SN. Evaluation of the effect of adipose tissue-derived stem cells on the quality of bone healing around implants. Connect Tissue Res 2016. 57(1):10-19.
- Gansukh O, Jeong JW, Kim JW, Lee JH, Kim TW. Mechanical and Histological Effects of Resorbable Blasting Media Surface Treatment on the Initial Stability of Orthodontic Mini-Implants. Biomed Res Int 2016. 1-9.
- Todisco M, Trisi P. Histomorphometric evaluation of six dental implant surfaces after early loading in augmented human sinuses. J Oral Implantol 2006. 32:153-166.
- (*) US 9566136 / US 10835350 / EP 2509530 / JP 5814255 / IT GE2009A000097 / IT 102009901791774



REX BONE LEVEL PIEZOIMPLANTS UNIQUE MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES

The innovative features of REX PiezoImplant are protected by various patents*.



200 μm 400x magnification



- 6. Roberts RA. Types, uses, and evaluation of the plate-form implant. J Oral Implantol 1996. 22:111-118.
- Golab KG, Kashani IR, Azami-Tameh A, Zaminy A, Nik IN, Nik SN. Evaluation of the effect of adipose tissue-derived stem cells on the quality of bone healing around implants. Connect Tissue Res 2016. 57(1):10-19.



5 MM



 Todisco M, Trisi P. Histomorphometric evaluation of six dental implant surfaces after early loading in augmented human sinuses. J Oral Implantol 2006. 32:153-166.

 Gansukh O, Jeong JW, Kim JW, Lee JH, Kim TW. Mechanical and Histological Effects of Resorbable Blasting Media Surface Treatment on the Initial Stability of Orthodontic Mini-Implants. Biomed Res Int 2016. 1-9.

(*)US 9566136 / US 10835350 / EP 2509530 / JP 5814255 / IT GE2009A000097 / IT 102009901791774

REX PIEZOIMPLANT PRODUCT RANGE FEATURES

REX TL 1.8 SURFACE TREATMENT OPTIONS

All REX PiezoImplants are provided with the wellknown RBM surface treatment (grit-blasting with hydroxylapatite plus acid-passivation). Trace amounts of hydroxylapatite on the titanium surface promote osteointegration. REX TL 1.8 PiezoImplants are available with either machined or surface-treated transcortical regions.



REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8 with RBM over the entire endosseous surface area to promote osseointegration.



REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8 with a machined transcortical region to facilitate biofilm removal.

RESTORATIVE VERSATILITY

Rex PiezoImplants TL offer external and internal hexagon prosthetic platforms.

Rex PiezoImplants BL offer a unique internal conical prosthetic platform with a hex for indexing, specifically designed for narrow implants.



REX PiezoImplant BL 2.9 Internal angle (full angle): 11° Platform: Ø2.6 mm



REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8 External hexagon: 2.7 mm Platform: Ø4.1 mm



REX PiezoImplant TL 2.9 Internal hexagon: 2.45 mm Platform: Ø3.5 mm

REX PIEZOIMPLANT PRODUCT RANGE FEATURES

DIFFERENT THICKNESSES AND LENGTHS AVAILABLE

REX TL PiezoImplants are 5 mm wide mesiodistally and 9 mm to 15 mm in length, with a vestibular-lingual thickness of 1.8 mm or 2.9 mm. REX BL PiezoImplants are 4 mm or 5 mm wide mesiodistally and 9 mm to 11 mm in length, with a vestibular-lingual thickness of 2.9 mm.



RETENTION SCREW WITH CONICAL CONNECTION

The abutment is connected to the implant via a retention screw with a conical connection.

This feature increases friction between the two components, reducing the risk of screw loosening even if the screw is short.



REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8



REX PiezoImplant TL 2.9



REX PiezoImplant BL 2.9



REX PiezoImplant BL 2.9 assembly cross-section

REX PiezoImplant TL



REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8 assembly cross-section

THIN YET STRONG A NEW **INNOVATIVE SHAPE**

THIN SECTION, MAXIMUM PERFORMANCE

With their unique geometry, REX PiezoImplants, made from state-of-the-art Titanium Alloy (Grade 23), have advanced mechanical strength and are mechanically more robust than screw implants of the same size or bigger.

REX PIEZOIMPLANTS: FATIGUE STRENGTH 12



12 Marchetti F, Ratta S, Mummolo S, Teem S, Pecci R, Bedini R, Marzo G, Evaluation of an Endosseous Oral Implant System According to UNI EN ISO 14801 Fatigue Test Protocol. Implant Dent 2014, 23:665-671,

13. Joo W, Zimmer® Contour Ceramic Abutments. 2008. A985, Rev 1/08.

14. LASAK Ltd. Bionig Product catalog 2016/2017

- 15. Fuchs F, Mader M, Heuberger P, Rompen EH. Fatigue performance of the On1 restorative system. J Dent Res 2017;96(Spec Iss A):3351, (www.iadr.org).
- 17 3M ESPE 3M ESPE MDI Technical Data Sheet 2012
- 18. Intra-Lock System Europa S.p.A. 2012. Intra-Lock Milo 3.0 Catalog. S4EN-15-01.
- 19. Internal data.
- 20. Schicho K, Kastner J, Klingesberger R, Seemann R, Enislidis G, Undt G, Wanschitz F, Figl M, Wagner A, Ewers R. Surface area analysis of dental implants using micro-computed tomography. Clin Oral Implants Res 2007. 18:459-464.

BONE IMPLANT CONTACT INCREASED AREA FOR BONE INGROWTH

LARGE SURFACE AREA AVAILABLE FOR OSTEOINTEGRATION

The patented* macro-geometry of REX PiezoImplants, featuring deep grooves on the mesio-distal surfaces, dramatically increases the surface area available for osteointegration, which is far superior to that of larger screw implants.

BONE IMPLANT CONTACT SURFACE AREA 19-21



 Gottlow J, Sennerby L. Influence of Surface and Implant Design on Stability of Five Commercial Titanium Implants: A Biomechanical Study in The Rabbit. 25th Anniversary Meeting Academy of Osteointegration 2010. Orlando, FL, p. Abs P83.

22. Cosola et al. 2021. In-vitro investigation of fatigue and fracture behavior of transmucosal versus submerged bone level implants used in fixed prosthesis.

 Reis, T.A. dos, Zancopé, K., Karam, F.K., Neves, F.D. das, 2019. Biomechanical behavior of extra-narrow implants after fatigue and pull-out tests. J. Prosthet. Dent. 122, 54.e1-54.e6.

3i and Osseotite are registered trademarks of Biomet 3i LLC, United States • 3M and ESPE are registered trademarks of 3M Company, United States • BRANEMARK SYSTEM is a registered trademark of NOBEL BIOCARE AB, Sweden • Astra Tech and OsseoSpeed are registered trademarks of Dentsply IH AB, Sweden • BioHorizons is a registered trademark of BioHorizons Implant Systems Inc, United States • Dentsply Sirona, Ankylos and Frialit are registered trademarks of Dentsply Sirona Inc, United States • Intra-Lock and Milo are registered trademarks of Intra-Lock International Inc, United States • Implant Direct and ScrewPlant are registered trademarks of Implant Direct Sybron International LLC, United States • Laser-Lok is a registered trademark of BIOHORIZONS AUSTRALIA PTY. LTD., Australia • Nobel Biocare, NobelActive and NobelReplace are registered trademarks of Nobel Biocare Service AG, Switzerland • Osstem is a registered trademarks of Sostem Co., Ltd. Corporation, Korea • Straumann, SLActive and Roxolid are registered trademarks of Suemann Holding AG, Switzerland • Sweden & Martina and Kohno are registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden & Martina S.p.a., Italy • Xive is a registered trademarks of Sweden S.

REX PIEZOIMPLANT CLINICAL OUTCOMES

"WEDGE-SHAPED IMPLANTS FOR MINIMALLY INVASIVE TREATMENT OF NARROW RIDGES" A MULTICENTER PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY. Vercellotti T, Troiano G, Oreglia F, Lombardi T, Gregorig G, Morella E, Rapani A, Stacchi C.

Abstract: The present study aims to investigate clinical and patient-centered outcomes after the implantsupported rehabilitation of narrow ridges using a novel wedge-shaped implant. Forty-four patients were treated with the insertion of 59 tissue-level wedge implants (1.8 mm bucco-lingual width) in horizontally atrophic ridges (mean bone width 3.8 ± 0.4 mm). The main outcome measures were: implant stability quotient (ISQ), marginal bone loss (MBL) and patient morbidity. Fifty-eight implants were functioning satisfactorily after one year of loading (98.3% survival rate) [...] Within the limitations of the present study, the device investigated showed low morbidity and positive short-term clinical results in narrow ridges treatment.

J Clin Med 2020. 9:3301; doi:10.3390/jcm9103301 https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0383/9/10/3301/htm





98.3% survival after a year of loading

REX PIEZOIMPLANT STABILITY

Implant stability was recorded by measuring ISQ values at different time points.

ISQ values measured in the mesio-distal direction was significantly higher than those in the bucco-lingual direction at all time points (p < 0.001).

Both mesio-distal and bucco-lingual ISQ values at 6-month follow-up were significantly higher than at 4-month follow-up (p < 0.001 for both).



Implant stability pattern at baseline (T0), after 4 (T1) and 6 (T2) months of healing. ISQ: implant stability quotient; MD: mesio-distal direction; VP: vestibular-palatal direction.



MARGINAL BONE LOSS

Marginal bone loss (MBL) was radiographically documented.

Mean MBL was 0.38 ± 0.48 mm at prosthesis delivery (6 months after implant insertion) and 0.60 ± 0.52 mm after one year of functional loading.

PATIENT PAIN SENSATION

A visual analogue scale (VAS) was used to measure the pain perceived by the patient.

The majority of patients reported slight discomfort related to the surgical procedure.

Postoperative pain was classified as mild on the day of surgery and the first postoperative day, and no pain was reported over the following five days.



VAS Pain



Trend patient pain assessed on the day of surgery (T0) and the following six postoperative days (T1 to T6).

Measurements are expressed in mm as mean ± standard deviation. MBL: mesial (MS), mean (MD) and distal (DS) marginal bone loss; T0: implant insertion; T1: 6 months after implant insertion; T2: 12 months after functional loading.

SITE PREPARATION PERFORATION TECHNIQUE

IMPLANT SITE PREPARATION BY PERFORATION

Implant site preparation by perforation is a subtractive technique that removes a volume of bone slightly smaller than the volume of the implant.

The implant site has a rectangular section, perfectly matching the anatomy of the top of the edentulous ridge.

This feature makes it possible to preserve a bone thickness of 1 mm on the vestibular and lingual walls, even in ridges as narrow as 4 mm.

BASIC CONDITIONS FOR USE OF THE PERFORATION TECHNIQUE

Buccolingual ridge width	≥ 3.5 mm			
Туре*	REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8			

* The perforation technique is not recommended for REX PiezoImplants BL 2.9 and TL 2.9

CLINICAL CASE

Adequate buccal and vestibular bone thickness was visible upon completion of implant site preparation. If this patient had been treated with standard cylindrical implants, bone augmentation would have been required. This is illustrated by the size of the restorative platform (4.1 mm diameter) in relation to the size of the bone.

Adequate vascular supply is required for implant therapy to succeed, evidenced by the bleeding at each

implant site. Given the atrophic nature of narrow ridges, if no bleeding is observed it is inadvisable to place an implant.



Surgical images courtesy of Dr. Francesco Oreglia.





SITE PREPARATION REXPANDER® TECHNIQUE

A NEW MILESTONE IN IMPLANTOLOGY

The *rexpander*[®] technique uses special wedge-shaped ridge expanders to prepare the implant site. By avoiding the removal of native bone volume beyond a small incision, this technique allows placing REX PiezoImplants in ridges as narrow as 3 mm.

FEATURES OF THE REXPANDER TECHNIQUE

This technique expands the edentulous ridge, limiting bone removal to a 0.35 mm wide osteotomy.

As well as expanding the volume of the crestal bone, this technique preserves the cancellous bone and the blood flow therein.

CLINICAL BENEFITS

Reduced invasiveness and morbidity make the *rexpander*[®] technique a new paradigm in implant site preparation, and the ideal choice for placing REX PiezoImplants.

COMBINED IMPLANT SITE PREPARATION

In the presence of highly mineralized cancellous bone, which has reduced tissue elasticity, it is advisable to use *rexpanders*[®] in conjunction with inserts W4 and W4-H.



REXPANDER® TECHNIQUE



Initial osteotomy



Expansion after 1.6 mm rexpander® and insertion of 2.0 mm rexpander®



Expansion with two 1.6 mm rexpanders®



Expansion after 2.0 mm rexpander®



SITE PREPARATION REXPANDER® TECHNIQUE

IMPLANT SITE PREPARATION BY EXPANSION

Unlike subtractive, implant site perforation procedures, the *rexpander*[®] technique preserves the bone volume and expands the ridge. The surgical protocol requires a minimal incision, which is only 0.35 - 0.7 mm wide and extends in the crestal bone for the length of the planned implant.

rexpanders[®] are inserted into the osteotomy, where they create a wedge-shaped implant site by inducing a controlled distraction of the vestibular bone flap.

The *rexpander*[®] technique is simple and fast to perform. It does not cause bone overheating and is typically characterized by favorable bleeding.

The *rexpander*[®] technique can be used in any ridge larger than 3 mm, so as to obtain roughly 1.5 to 2.0 mm of peri-implant bone thickness.

This innovative implantation technique preserves more bone than traditional dental implantation methods.

BASIC CONDITIONS FOR USE OF THE REXPANDER® TECHNIQUE

Buccolingual ridge width	≥ 3 mm		
Implant size	REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8 REX PiezoImplant TL 2.9 REX PiezoImplant BL 2.9		

CLINICAL CASE



Surgical images courtesy of Prof. Tomaso Vercellotti



REX PIEZOIMPLANT SURGICAL KIT AND SURGICAL INSTRUMENTS

SURGICAL KIT

The surgical tray conveniently organizes all of the instruments required to place REX PiezoImplants.

The color coding of the kit helps to easily organize and identify tools during implant interventions.

STRIKERS AND REMOVER





Anterior Posteri striker striker

Posterior striker and remover



REX MALLET

The REX Mallet enables insertion of the REX Piezo-Implant by electronically controlled magnetic impacts.

The innovative technology allows the user to switch from pushing to pulling action at the press of a button, without having to change the handpiece.

BENEFITS

- Safe, controllable, and precise means of insertion, ideal for press-fit implants
- Four power levels for controlled implant insertion based on bone quality
- Insertion and removal action for rapid performance of the *rexpander*[®] technique
- Attachments allow both anterior and posterior implant insertion



SITE PREPARATION **PIEZOSURGERY®**

PIEZOSURGERY®

The REX PiezoImplant site preparation technique exploits the unique characteristics of the original Mectron PIEZOSURGERY[®].

Numerous scientific and clinical studies have been conducted to further understand the benefits of PIEZOSURGERY®'s ultrasonic modulated frequency wave and its unique effect on healing. 24



A Pilot Study in Minipigs. J Periodontol. 2007; 78(4):716-722

PIEZOSURGERY® INSERTS FOR REX IMPLANTS

Implant site preparation by perforation is performed using dedicated PIEZOSURGERY[®] inserts with a rectangular section and increasing thickness.

Depth markings allow for the optimal degree of under-preparation in relation to bone density.

PIEZOSURGERY® VERSATILITY

In addition to the inserts dedicated to the REX PiezoImplant, PIEZOSURGERY[®] features a wide range of inserts from extractions to implant site preparation for all types of surgical needs.

This technique promotes a better healing response as evidenced in the literature. ²⁵⁻²⁶



 Stoetzer M, Felgenträger D, Kampmann A, Schumann P, Rücker M, Gellrich NC, von See C. Effects of a new piezoelectric device on periosteal microcirculation after subperiosteal preparation. Microvasc Res. 2014 Jul;94:114-8. 48



 von See C, Gellrich NC, Rücker M, Kokemüller H, Kober H, Stöver E. Investigation of perfusion in osseous vessels in close vicinity to piezoelectric bone cutting.Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012 Apr;50(3):251-5.

REXPANDERS® FOR NARROW RIDGES

THE INNOVATIVE SOLUTION FOR RIDGE EXPANSION

rexpanders[®] were designed and developed in collaboration with Prof. Tomaso Vercellotti in order to distribute expansive strength non-traumatically over bone surfaces.

Sizes available: Length: equal to the planned implant Thicknesses: 1.6 - 2.0 - 2.4 - 2.8 - 3.2 - 3.6 mm For REX PiezoImplant TL 1.8, use the 1.6 to 2.0 rexpanders® (and also the 2.4 in exceptional cases). For REX PiezoImplants BL 2.9 and TL 2.9, the last rexpanders® used can be the 2.8 or the 3.2.

rexpanders® are made from titanium alloy and have a width of 6 mm.





Thickness in mm:

Rex Implants

PIEZOIMPLANT TISSUE LEVEL COMPONENTS FOR ALL RESTORATIVE NEEDS



(*) Please confirm applicable torque values with PiezoImplant System surgical manual

(**) Products may not be available in all markets

COMPONENT

(UCLA)**

PIEZOIMPLANT TL 1.8

PIEZOIMPLANT TL 2.9



Coping Screw, Healing Cap torque: 15 Ncm*

PIEZOIMPLANT BONE LEVEL RESTORATIVE FOR ALL PROSTHETIC NEEDS



(*) Please confirm applicable torque values with PiezoImplant System surgical manual

COMPONENT

PIEZOIMPLANT BL 2.9

SCREW-RETAINED RESTORATIONS

Angled Multi-Unit Abutments	17°			30°				
(MUA)** — 17° — 30°								
Torque: 25 Ncm* Height:	3 mm	4 mm	5 mm	6 mm	3 mm	4 mm	5 mm	6 mm
Straight Multi-Unit Abutments (MUA)	Ŷ)	Ŷ					
Torque: 25 Ncm* Height	:					THE CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.		Ű
MUA Accessories BL 2.9	2 mm		3 mm	4 mm		5 mm	6	mm
 MUA Healing Cap MUA Coping Screw MUA Angled Channel Coping Screw MUA Bar Coping MUA Castable Coping MUA Impression Coping MUA Temporary Coping MUA Waxing Screw Coping Screw, Healing Cap torque: 15 Ncm* 	Ĩ	(jam)	(jan)					
LABORATORY ANALOGS AND IMPRESSION PINS — Lab Analog BL 2.9 — MUA Lab Analog BL 2.9						Ì		Ĩ

- Impression Coping BL 2.9
- Impression Pin BL 2.9 S
- Impression Pink BL 2.9 L



(*) Please confirm applicable torque values with PiezoImplant System surgical manual

(**) Products may not be available in all markets



DIGITAL WORKFLOW **COMPONENTS***

COMPONENT

REXMARKER

Snap-fit rexmarkers can be used for TL 1.8 and TL 2.9 implants







Fixation: AR - anti-rotational / R - rotational:

Screwed TL 1.8

Screwed TL 2.9 TL 1.8 / TL 2.9

MUA BL 2.9

TI-BASE MUA





MUA





TL 1.8 / TL 2.9

BL 2.9 AR

BL 2.9 R

AR - anti-rotational / R - rotational:

DIGITAL LABORATORY ANALOGS



TL 1.8

TL 2.9



MUA TL 1.8 / TL 2.9



MUA BL 2.9

(*) Products may not be available in all markets

(**) Sirona is a registered trademark of Dentsply Sirona Inc., United States

REX PIEZOIMPLANT INSTRUMENTS



Extractor Driver 0.1" MUA Driver

REX PIEZOIMPLANT DIGITAL WORKFLOW

INTERVENTION WORKFLOW

Digital workflows in dentistry allow optimizing the emergence profile of crowns on implants, respecting the anatomy of the bone crest.

The combination of digital dentistry and the REX PiezoImplant system makes it possible to obtain clinical outcomes previously unseen.

Indeed, placement of REX PiezoImplants in narrow ridges not only enables maintenance of an adequate peri-implant bone thickness but also allows optimization of the implant axis in line with restorative priorities. This is possible because of the thin, wedge shape, tissue-level design, and ability to be inserted from the palatal or vestibular aspect as required.

REX PiezoImplants also allow the possibility of a complete digital workflow, from pre-surgical planning to prosthetic design, and finally, assisted surgical guidance can be used right up to implant placement.



Scan the QR Code or <u>Click Here</u>
 to access a page with all available
 Digital Solutions and Libraries



Guide for assisted surgery.



Crestal bone width



Anatomical axis



Ideal prosthetic axis





Anatomical axis



Implant-prosthetic axis



Anatomical axis



Implant-prosthetic axis

REX PIEZOIMPLANT TRAINING COURSES

WARRANTY

Rex Implants, Inc. offers its customers a lifetime warranty*.

This warranty covers all REX PiezoImplants that have been placed but not yet restored, or that have been restored exclusively with original REX Implants prosthetics components.



TRAINING COURSES

Adequate knowledge of the system is required to benefit from the countless surgical and clinical advantages of REX PiezoImplants.

Education on proper use of the REX PiezoImplant is extremely important because the method of use differs significantly from that of screw implants, and the product range is continually evolving.

Rex Implants and its distribution partners have developed extensive educational programs.

This training is designed to equip users with all that they need to know to use the REX PiezoImplant System in their daily practice.













Manufactured by:

Rex Implants Inc. 850 Michigan Avenue Columbus, Ohio, 43215 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA www.reximplants.com





© Rex Implants, Inc. The information in this brochure is not binding and may be modified without notice. The texts, images, and graphics in this brochure are the property of Rex Implants, Inc., Columbus, OH, USA. All rights reserved. The contents cannot be copied, distributed, changed, or transmitted to third parties without the prior written approval of Rex Implants, Inc.

RI0012306